

LSI LOGIC

LSI Logic Corporation
Intellectual Property
Corporate Legal Department
MS D-106
1551 McCarthy Blvd.
Milpitas, CA 95035

Facsimile Transmittal LetterDate March 29, 2002Number of pages including cover sheet 3**To: US PTO**

TC2823

Fax No. **703-872-9318**

Phone No.

CC:

From: Connie del Castillo

Intellectual Property Paralegal

Telephone No. **(408) 433-7191**Fax No. **(408) 433-7460****REMARKS:**☐ Urgent ☐ For your review ☒ Reply ASAP ☐ Please comment

Application Number: 09/687,263
Filing date: October 12, 2000
First named inventor: Chok J. Chia et al.
Attorney docket number: 00-282

Transmitted herewith for filing via facsimile:

- Amendment in response to the Office Action dated March 22, 2002

FAX COPY RECEIVED

MAR 29 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Please contact us at (408) 433-7191 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us.

Received from <4084337460> at 3/29/02 4:46:46 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

#5/Election
of
4/2/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chok J. Chia et al.
Serial Number: 09/687,263
Filed: October 12, 2000
Title: Insulated Bonding Wire for Microelectronic Packaging
Examiner: Collins, Deven M.
Group Art Unit: 2823
Attorney Docket: 00-282

AMENDMENT

Box Non-Fee-Amendment
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington DC 20231

FAX COPY RECEIVED

MAR 29 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

This amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated March 22, 2002.

REMARKS

Applicants hereby elect with traverse the election of Group 1, Claims 1 to 5, drawn to a method for insulating a bonding wire. Reconsideration is requested.

However, restriction is not required by 35 U.S.C. § 121, as suggested in the office action. Congress wisely granted the *discretion* to restrict applications. According to 35 U.S.C. § 121 "... the Commissioner *may* require the application to be restricted...." (emphasis added). Likewise, MPEP § 803 lists two criteria that must be present for restriction to be proper:

1. The invention must be independent or distinct; and
2. There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required.

In searching the Group I claims, the class and subclass for the Group II claims will

undoubtedly be searched, to ensure that no relevant art is overlooked. For this reason there is no significant burden on the examiner, and certainly no serious burden as required by MPEP § 803. In fact, maintaining the requirement for restriction not only burdens applicants with the additional costs associated with filing and prosecuting separate patent applications, but also requires the examiner to duplicate efforts by examining multiple applications of closely related inventions. Such practice not only wastes public and private funds and Patent Office resources, but also leads to the possibility of inconsistent examinations of closely related inventions. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.


In light of the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that a full and complete response to the office action is provided herein, and request that the application proceed to examination.

In the event this response is not timely filed, applicants hereby petition for the appropriate extension of time and request that the fee for the extension along with any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper be charged to deposit account 12-2252.

Sincerely,

LSI LOGIC CORPORATION.

By:



Leo J. Peters, Reg. No. 33,562

LSI Logic Corporation
1551 McCarthy Blvd., MS D-106
Milpitas, CA 95035

FAX COPY RECEIVED

MAR 29 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

March 29, 2002
Date

Connie C. del Castillo
Connie del Castillo